1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 MATTHEW MUNGER, a single man, by and CIVIL ACTION NO. 8 through his attorney-in-fact, MICHAEL MUNGER; COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY 9 JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE 10 **RELIEF** Plaintiff. 11 v. 12 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION: 6401 Security Boulevard 13 Baltimore, Maryland 21235 14 Defendants. 15 16 I. **INTRODUCTION** 17 1.1 This is an action under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, 18 as amended, as well as agency FOIA regulations, challenging the failure of the Social Security 19 Administration ("SSA") to fulfill Plaintiff's request for documents relating to Plaintiff's injury at 20 their Longview, Washington office on May 18, 2017. 21 1.2 This case seeks declaratory relief that Defendants is in violation of the FOIA for 22 failing to fulfill Plaintiff's request for records/video/photographs, and injunctive relief that 23 Defendant immediately and fully comply with Plaintiff's request under the FOIA. 24 II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT RUSSELL & HILL, PLLC AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 1 3811-A BROADWAY EVERETT, WA 98201 PHONE - (425) 212-9165

FAX - (425) 212-9168

This Court has both subject matter jurisdiction over this action and personal

2.1

11

14

21

22

24

25

jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). This court also has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1311. Venue lies in this district under 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B). III. **PARTIES** 

- 3.1 Plaintiff Matthew Munger is a disabled single man who currently resides in Seattle, King County, Washington. At the time of the May 18, 2017, injury, he resided with his father in Longview, Cowlitz County, Washington. Mr. Munger suffered a debilitating, severe and permanent injury after falling on a rug while at the Social Security Office in Longview, Washington, on May 18, 2017. Subsequently, through his legal counsel, Mr. Munger made a FOIA request to Defendants for documents/video/film regarding his injury and the mat/rug involved in Mr. Munger's fall. To date, Defendants have failed to produce a single record/document/photograph in response to Plaintiff's FOIA request dated May 25, 2017, and August 24, 2018 (resubmit).
- 3.2 Plaintiff is harmed by SSA's failure to comply with the FOIA because the failure harms Plaintiff's ability to investigate and pursue a possible personal injury action which caused him to suffer severe, debilitating, and permanent injuries. Plaintiff has exhausted all applicable administrative remedies with regard to Defendant. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).
- 3.3 Defendant Social Security Administration is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f). The SSA is the federal agency with possession and control of the requested records/documents/video, and is responsible for fulfilling Plaintiff's FOIA request.

#### IV. **STATUTORY FRAMEWORK – The Freedom of Information Act**

- 4.1 The FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, requires agencies of the federal government to release requested records to the public unless one or more specific statutory exemptions apply.
- 4.2 An agency must respond to a party making a FOIA request within twenty working days, notifying that party of at least its determination whether or not to fulfill the request, and of the requester's right to appeal its determination to the agency head. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- 4.3 An agency must respond to a FOIA appeal within twenty working days, notifying that party of its determination to either release the withheld records or uphold the denial. 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(6)(A)(ii).
- 4.4 In "unusual circumstances" an agency may delay its response to a FOIA request or appeal, but must provide notice and provide "the date on which a determination is expected to be dispatched." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B)
- 4.5 This Court has jurisdiction, upon receipt of a complaint, "to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(B)
- 4.6 The FOIA provides a mechanism for disciplinary action against agency officials who have acted inappropriately in withholding records. Specifically, when requiring the release of improperly withheld records, if the Court makes a written finding that "the circumstances surround the withholding raise questions whether agency personnel acted arbitrarily or capriciously", a disciplinary investigation is triggered. 5 U.S.C. § 522(a)(4)(F).

#### V. FACTS GIVING RISE TO PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR RELIEF

5.1 On May 18, 2017, Plaintiff was visiting the Longview, Washington Social Security Office with his brother, Michael Munger. Plaintiff Matthew Munger was 55 years of age (at the time of his injury) and is developmentally disabled. As Plaintiff entered the office, a mat in the

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 3

entryway was askew, causing him to fall head first into a nearby wall. The impact of Mr. Munger's head left a large indent in the drywall. Mr. Munger was transported to a local hospital and ultimately diagnosed with multiple cervical fractures and left paralyzed.

- 5.2 On May 25, 2017, Mr. Munger (through legal counsel) send the Social Security Office in Longview, a Preservation Demand requesting they preserve all evidence surrounding Mr. Munger's injury.
- 5.3 On June 2, 2017, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Plaintiff requested SSA produce the following:
  - Any documents or electronic media related in whole or in part to the slip/trip and fall incident involving Matthew Munger and occurring on May 18, 2017.
  - 2. Any and all electronic media capturing events and occurrences in or near the entry way, foyer, customer area of the Longview Social Security Office on May 18, 2017.
  - 3. Any and all documents or electronic media regarding, related to, or including policies, directions, and rules relating to upkeep, maintenance, hazard prevention, and safety.
  - 4. Any and all documents or information identifying any witness to the occurrences involving Matthew Munger and occurring on May 18, 2017.
- 5.4 No response, or acknowledgement of the FOIA request was ever received by SSA until September 8, 2017. On this date, Plaintiff's counsel was contacted by a SSA representative (Michael Sarich) by email advising he intended to close the FOIA request by September 30, 2017.
- 5.5 During a subsequent telephone call between Plaintiff's counsel and Mr. Sarich on September 11, 2017, Mr. Sarich indicated he would start "gathering documents" responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA request.

5.6 Four days later on September 15, 2017, Mr. Sarich first requests a signed
Consent/Authorization Form signed by Plaintiff. Mr. Sarich did not specify which records
required this signed Consent/Authorization Form. By this date, more than three months since the
FOIA request, Plaintiff had yet to receive a letter from SSA regarding its determination to either
release or withhold records, or cite to any requirement/exemption regarding the
release/withholding of any documents.

- 5.7 Over the next week, Plaintiff's counsel and SSA/Sarich exchanged multiple emails/letters to clarify which records were withheld/required the Consent/Authorization Form, and requesting a list of documents SSA claimed were exempt due to the consent requirement claim. On October 3, 2017, Plaintiff's received a letter from SSA "administratively closing" the FOIA request made by Plaintiff. A second letter from SSA was received on October 5, 2017, defending its decision to "administratively close" Plaintiff's FOIA request. This letter further states that Plaintiff was seeking "information from Mr. Munger's Social Security records," despite the fact this information was never requested.
- 5.8 On October 9, 2017, Plaintiff provided the signed Consent/Authorization to SSA signed by Plaintiff's brother, Michael Munger, as Plaintiff is unable to sign.
- 5.9 On October 11, 2017, Plaintiff appealed the "administrative closing" of his FOIA request. No response was received by SSA after the submission of the Appeal until almost seven (7) months later when a letter from SSA was received re-affirming the decision not to release "certain" records. In fact, SSA had not released *any* records in response to Plaintiff's FOIA.
- 5.10 On August 24, 2018, Plaintiff re-submitted his original FOIA request along with the signed Consent/Authorization signed by Plaintiff's brother/Attorney-in-Fact, Michael Munger.

- 5.11 On August 29, 2018, an email was received from SSA stating the FOIA had been received on August 29, 2018. No other response has received to date.
- 5.12 Under FOIA, SSA was required to make a determination with respect to Plaintiff's FOIA request within twenty (20) days (excluding Saturdays, Sundays and legal holidays) after receipt of the request. According to Plaintiff's calculations, the twentieth day fell on September 24, 2018.
- 5.13 The statutory time limit for SSA to respond to Plaintiff's request has run out and Plaintiff has constructively exhausted the applicable administrative remedies. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C); see also *Judicial Watch v Rossotti*, 326 F.3d 1309, 1310, 356 U.S.App.D.C. 54, 55 (D.C. Cir. 2003); *Oglesby v. U.S. Department of the Army*, 920 F.2d 57, 62, 287, U.S.App.D.C. 126, 131 (D.C. Cir. 1990).

## VI. PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM FOR RELIEF

### First Claim of Action: Failure to Produce Records

- 6.1 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.
- 6.2 Plaintiff properly asked for records within SSA's control.
- 6.3 Plaintiff is entitled to law to access to the records requested under the FOIA, unless Defendant makes an explicit and justified statutory exemption claim.
- 6.4 Therefore, Defendant violated FIOA's mandate to release agency records to the public by failing to release the records as Plaintiff specifically requested. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(A), 552(a)(4)(B).

#### **Second Claim of Action:** Failure to Respond

6.5 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs.

FAX - (425) 212-9168

# Case 2:19-cv-01088-RAJ Document 1 Filed 07/15/19 Page 8 of 8

1 2 3	Russell & Hill, PLLC 3811A Broadway Everett, WA 98201 Ph. (425) 212-9165 Fx. (425) 212-9168
4	<u>brandon@russellandhill.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 8

RUSSELL & HILL, PLLC 3811-A BROADWAY EVERETT, WA 98201 PHONE - (425) 212-9165 FAX - (425) 212-9168